

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,290	08/31/2001	Jane Elizabeth Weier	A01071 JHR/ams	1885
21898 7	590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			YOON, TAE H	
100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/944,290	WEIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tae H. Yoon	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 August 2004</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the morits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-23 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the output of the outp	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Nod in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/944,290

Art Unit: 1714

A proper terminal disclaimer is acknowledged, however, it is moot since application No. 09/944,289 had been abandoned.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 21 and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,639,012. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the flow aid of claim 2 encompasses a liquid component not being water and the water of claim 6 meets the instant liquid component.

Claims, 1, 2 and 4-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,290

Art Unit: 1714

The recited "type" in "ethylene-vinylacetate ("EVA") type copolymers" in claim 1, , 6, 11 and 16 is indefinite and cancellation is suggested. Claim 4 is confusing since it improperly broadens the scope of the claim 1 wherein at least 5 weight percent of water is recited.

The recited "the liquid component comprises at least 5 weight percent of water" in claim 13 is redundant in view of the amended claim 11.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Espiard et al (US 6,245,848).

The instant invention further recites EVA type copolymers over Espiard et al.

Espiard et al teach vinyl chloride homopolymers and copolymers thereof at col. 1, lines 41-53 wherein comonomers such as vinyl acetate and olefins are taught.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize a copolymer of vinyl chloride, vinyl acetate and ethylene in Espiard et al since Espiard et al teach such modification absent showing otherwise, and said copolymer meets the instant copolymer.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,290

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kemp (US 4,250,070).

Kemp teaches vinyl chloride homopolymers and copolymers thereof at col. 2, lines 20-35 wherein comonomers such as vinyl acetate and olefins are taught. Bimodal particle size distribution, 400-2500 μ m and 80--1000 μ m with a ratio of 1-20/1, is taught at col. 7, lines 3-10, and tables 9 and 14 show several examples.

The instant invention further recites EVA type copolymers over Kemp.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize a copolymer of vinyl chloride, vinyl acetate and ethylene in Kemp since Kemp teaches such modification absent showing otherwise, and said copolymer meets the instant copolymer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/October 4, 2004